Thin film composite polyelectrolyte multilayer nanofiltration membrane fabricated using spin assisted layer by layer assembly: Application of solution diffusion film model
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Abstract

Thin Film Composite (TFC) Polyelectrolyte Multilayer (PEM) Nanofiltration (NF) membrane consisting of multilayer of Poly(diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride) (PDAC) and Poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) deposited on polyethersulfone (PES) support was prepared using spin-assisted layer by layer assembly (SA-LbL). This work is an effort to discover a new material with better characteristics and performance compared to commercial polyamide (PA) NF membrane. Two main operating conditions, namely temperature and salt concentration as well as their effect on membrane performance were investigated and studied. Solution-diffusion Film Model (SDFM) membrane employing two fitting parameters i.e. membrane salt permeability ($P_s$) and stagnant layer salt permeability $P_{St}$, was used to explain membrane transport across the membrane. The result showed a good agreement between experimental and observed rejection rate suggests PEM membrane to behave similar to typical solution diffusion-type composite membrane and SDFM can be used to predict the membrane performance and behavior.
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1. Introduction

Nanofiltration (NF) membrane is a relatively new class of pressure-driven membrane lying between reverse osmosis (RO) and ultrafiltration (UF). It typically has the pore size of 1 nm corresponding to molecular weight cut-off of 300-500 Da [1]. Owing to its ability to reject any multivalent ions and organic molecules coupled with its relatively higher water permeability compared to RO membrane, NF has progressively gained attention from researchers in the area of membrane science and technology since its appearance in the mid of 1980s (as shown in Figure, 1). The commercial application of NF can be normally found in the removal of multivalent ions [2], heavy metals, dyes [3], pesticides, micropolutants [4], and textiles [5].

Commercial Polyamide (PA) NF membrane fabricated through interfacial polymerization on the top of polysulfone (PSF) support shows a superior performance in terms of water flux and salt rejection. Having said that, this membrane exhibits low resistance to fouling, particularly biofouling. Researchers have continuously investigated the appropriateness of other materials for NF/RO membrane application. The challenge for fabricating ultra-thin layer of any material is the fabrication method itself. Among thin film fabrication methods, layer by layer (LbL) assembly i.e. dip-LbL [6] has been considered as an inexpensive, versatile, robust, and bottom-up nanofabrication technique.
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Figure 1. Number of publications per year related to NF in various applications
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the method has then been modified into spray-LbL assembly [19] and spin-LbL assembly [20], principally to shorten the deposition time. The suitability of spray and spin-assisted LbL for RO/NF is just recently studied by Jason et al. [21] and Fadhillah et al. [22], respectively. Our previous work [22] on NF employing two polyelectrolytes, namely poly(diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride) (PDAC) and Poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) deposited on polyethersulfone (PES) support layer showed a promising result. As recently implemented method, membrane transport through this kind of film is still quite unclear. Some researchers suggested that the rejection mechanism of this membrane follows bipolar membrane [23]. However, our own study showed no any significant increase in rejection rate by doubling the number of layers [24]. It was also reported that changing outermost layer results in the significant change of anion flux. Therefore, it is suggested that only uncompensated charges near the film-solution interface bring a significant effect on the ions rejection mechanism [8]. Based on those experimental results, one can assume that the entire membrane actually behave similar to typical NF or RO membrane.

NF membrane transport is considered as a complex process involving many parameters such as size, steric, electric and dielectric hindrance effects as well as electroviscidity [25]. Up to now, two main models have been developed to describe the transport mechanism through the membrane namely irreversible thermodynamics model (ITM) [26] and solution diffusion (SDM) [27]. Among ITM, Donnan-Steric Partitioning Pore Model (DSPM) is considered the most interesting and innovative one [28]. This model is based on Nerst-Plack equation and includes steric/electric exclusion mechanism. Having said that, DSPM is still not able to fit experimental rejection rate of various electrolytes with a single value of the membrane-thickness-to-porosity ratio and failed to describe the high rejection rates observed with some NF membranes in the case of ionic solutions containing divalent counterions such as Ca\textsuperscript{2+} or Mg\textsuperscript{2+} [29]. Not to mention, DSPM model requires some membrane characteristics such as pore radius and membrane charge, which is normally not readily available, and the complexity of the model itself, hinder its application in any practical situation.

In contrast, SDM offers the simplicity yet quite robust to be applied for ionic or non ionic species transport through RO and NF membranes. In the previous work [25,30], SDM model was modified by including the coupling effect between ions within the stagnant layer, a thin film adjacent to membrane surface in which concentration polarization occurs. This model, so-called, solution diffusion film model (SDFM) considers the solutes (uncharged molecules or individual ions) transport occurs via diffusion, electric migration and, to some extent, convection through both stagnant layer and membrane. Those solutes travel across both layers at different speed but the electroneutrality must always be maintained everywhere.

The objective of this paper is to study the effect of operating condition on the performance of PDAC/PSS NF membrane prepared using SA-LbL assembly. Two main observable parameters namely observed rejection rate (R\textsubscript{obs}) and permeate flux (J\textsubscript{p}) will be measured and analyzed using SDFM. This work also aims to validate SDFM in PEM membrane using single salt feed in a prescribed condition.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

In this work, PDAC (molecular weights of 400,000–500,000) in the form of 20 wt% in H\textsubscript{2}O solutions and PSS (molecular weights of 70,000) in the form of 30 wt% in H\textsubscript{2}O solution were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Both polyelectrolytes were used as received without purification. Extra-pure NaCl was obtained from Scharlau (Spain) while extra-pure MgCl\textsubscript{2}•6H\textsubscript{2}O was obtained from Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd (India).

The stock solutions of the polyelectrolytes (PDAC and PSS) with polyelectrolyte concentration of 0.02 M were prepared. NaCl was also added to both solution at a concentration of 0.05 M. The pH of the PDAC solution was adjusted to 4.9 while the pH of the PSS solution was kept at 8.2. PES ultrafiltration (UF) membranes (YMPWSP3001, Sterlitech Corp., USA) with a pore size corresponding to a molecular weight cut-off of 10 kDa were used as the support layers for fabricating the PEM TFC membranes. The PES UF membranes were tested and were found to exhibit a permeation flux of 235.7 L/m\textsuperscript{2}·h at a pressure of 10 bar and temperature of 33.5 °C. Figure 2 shows the molecular structures of the various materials used.

![Molecular structures of materials used to synthesize PEM TFC membranes](image)

2.2. Fabrication of PEM TFC Membrane

The PDAC and PSS solutions were alternately deposited on the PES UF membranes using a fully automatic spin coater (POLOS SPIN150®, SPS Inc.). Prior to deposition, the PES UF support membrane was pretreated in an air plasma using a plasma cleaner (PDC-32G-2, Harrick Plasma Inc) as per a procedure as described elsewhere [31]. After the pretreatment, the PES support became clean, highly negatively charged, and more hydrophilic and was thus ready to be used as a support for LbL assembly.

PDAC was firstly deposited on the spinning PES support. The deposition process was performed at a speed of 3000 rpm [32] with a deposition rate of 0.2 mL/s for 10 s. The film was then spin-dried for 20s and then followed with rinsing step using distilled water. PSS was subsequently deposited in a similar manner to PDAC including the rinsing step. A complete cycle comprised of single PDAC and PSS deposition. This
cycle was then repeated up to 35 times based on our previous work.

2.3. Permeation test

Permeation tests were performed using a cross-flow permeation cell (Innovator® CF016, Sterlitech Corporation) as shown schematically in Fig 3. CF016 system had an active membrane surface area of 20.6 cm². In this experiment, operating condition such as, temperature and MgCl₂ concentration of the feed solution became the object of study and varied. The pressure, temperature, pH, and MgCl₂ concentration of feed solution were all kept constant at 10 bar, 32°C, 6.4, and 500 ppm, respectively during the course of permeation test except when the effect of certain parameter was investigated. For instance, when the effect of temperature of feed solution was investigated, it was varied at 20, 30 and 40°C. While, when the effect of MgCl₂ concentration of the feed solution was examined, it was varied at 500, 1000, and 4000 ppm.

These tests were performed for 5 hours, and the membrane parameters were measured every hour. Prior to the permeation tests, the membranes were immersed in water overnight for equilibration under wet conditions and to minimize any defects in the membranes resulted from dry conditions.

Several important membrane performance indicators i.e. permeate flux (Jₚ), solute concentration at feed (cₛ(P)) and permeate side (cₛ(P)) were all recorded. Observable rejection rate (Rₛ(bs)) was then calculated using the following formula:

$$R_{bs} = 1 - \frac{c_s(P)}{c_s(F)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, cₛ(P) and cₛ(F) are MgCl₂ concentration (ppm) for permeate and feed, respectively.

2.4. Model Development

The derivation of SDFM was done by Yaroshchuk et al. [30] and Perez et al. [25] for modeling the transport of single dominant salt and trace ion through NF membrane taking transport in a stagnant layer into account. Solute transport within a given thickness of stagnant layer occurred by diffusion, electrostatic migration and convection. The concentration of solute in bulk of stirred liquid was assumed to be homogenous due to complete mixing. It is also important to note that although there was a gradual concentration transition from being stirred to stagnant liquid. In this model, it was assumed to be a sharp transition. The ion transport equations can be written as follows:

$$J_i = -\mathbf{D}_i^{(S)} \frac{dx}{dx} + Z_i c_i \frac{d\phi}{dx} + c_i J_v$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where Jᵢ is the trans-membrane solute fluxes (mol/m²·s); \(\mathbf{D}_i^{(S)}\) is the effective diffusion coefficient of solutes in stagnant layer. \(\phi\) is virtual electrostatic potential, \(Z_i\) is its charge, \(c_i\) is the virtual concentration of this solute, and \(x\) is the trans-membrane coordinate.

From the above model, one can obtain intrinsic rejection rate (Rₛ(int)) as follows

$$R_{int} = \frac{I_v L/L_v}{I_v L/L_v + 2 \phi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Meanwhile, observed rejection rate was related to intrinsic rejection rate with transport in stagnant layer taken into account as follows:

$$1 - R_{bs} = \frac{1 - R_{int}}{R_{bs}^{int}} e^{(I_v \phi/L_v^{(S)})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
Solute diffusion permeability can be expressed:

\[ P_S = \frac{n_S}{l} \]  
(5)

\[ P_S^e = \frac{S_S^e}{\delta} \]  
(6)

By combining all equations from (3) to (6), one can obtain

\[ R_S^{obs} = \frac{(\nu_e/P_S^e)}{1+(\nu_e/P_S^e)} \]  
(7)

Observed rejection rate in equation (1) will be fitted with equation (7).

3. Results and Discussion

TFC membranes consisting of multilayers of PDAC/PSS on PES UF support were successfully fabricated using SA-LbL assembly. Both PDAC and PSS were considered strong polyelectrolytes whose degree of ionization remained constant over a wide range of pH values [33–35]. Similar to typical TFC membrane, multilayers of PDAC/PSS acted as an active layer whereas PES UF support afforded the mechanical strength to the entire membrane structure. The evidences of this layer have been discussed in our previous work published elsewhere [22]. As mentioned earlier, in this work, we presented the effect of two main operational parameters (temperature and MgCl₂ concentration of feed solution) on the performance of PEM TFC NF membrane.

SDFM was used to investigate the membrane transport across the membrane. Two fitting parameters, i.e. \( P_S \) and \( P_S^e \) used in SDFM were obtained using solver.xlm. General Reduced Gradient (GRC) nonlinear algorithm with multistart method was selected to minimize the differences between experimental and calculated observed rejection rate.

3.1. Effect of Temperature

Table 1 shows the result of simulation using solver.xlm on two permeabilities as fitting parameters for Eq. 7. The table implies that the resistance to the salt transport caused by membrane layer is more pronounced than that of the stagnant layer at the adjacency of membrane surface. In addition, it can be seen that stagnant layer salt permeability (\( P_S^e \)) was practically constant during the experiment although the temperature was increased from 20 to 40°C; whereas membrane salt permeability (\( P_S \)) increased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature, °C</th>
<th>( P_S )</th>
<th>( P_S^e )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.77 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>57.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.40 ± 1.13</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>57.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.15 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>56.121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The effect of Temperature on Membrane Salt Permeabilities, (\( P_S \)) and Stagnant Layer Salt Permeabilities, (\( P_S^e \)) obtained as fitting parameters for Eq. 7.

Fig 4 shows a noticeable difference between the observable rejection and intrinsic rejection rate implying the existence of stagnant layer on the membrane surface. The implication of the difference between the two suggests the increase of salt concentration at vicinity of the surface. In this ultra-thin layer, there was an increase of salt concentration by 19 %, 27 % and 33 % respectively from corresponding salt concentration at bulk feed, \( C_s^{(F)} \).

The figure also depicts the effect of temperature on membrane performance. As the temperature increased (as shown from the direction of the arrow), the permeate flux also increased owing to the increase of water diffusion across the membrane. Meanwhile, the rejection rate slightly decreased due to the increase of salt diffusion as depicted in Table 1 (shown by the increase of membrane salt permeabilities, \( P_S \)).

3.2. Effect of MgCl₂ Concentration in feed solution

Similar to previous analysis, Table 2 shows two permeability as fitting parameters for Eq. 7 with respect to the effect of MgCl₂ concentration in the feed. Consistent with the
result of effect of temperature, resistance contributed by membrane layer was also much higher than the one provided by stagnant layer as suggested from salt permeabilities in both layers. This suggests the accumulation of salt in the membrane surface due to salt transport was controlled by the membrane layer.

The work done by Dubas and Schlenoff [39] is very important to explain the behavior of structure-relation membrane transport. As seen in Table 2, when the NaCl concentration increased, the membrane thickness of PDAC/PSS layer decreased. From their work, this thickness decrease was evidenced and reached the maximum decrease at NaCl concentration of 0.1 M and then started to increase again gradually when the concentration increased above 0.1 M. Another interesting result from their work was that there was no change in RMS roughness of the layer when the layer was exposed to NaCl concentration of 0.1 M, even though the layer was exposed for 400 min continuously.

Based on that investigation, the significant increase of membrane salt permeability ($P_s$) was contributed by the increase of salt concentration on the membrane surface as well as the decrease of the membrane thickness. These two results even more pronounce effect on the increase of membrane salt permeability compared to similar effect caused by temperature increase. Whereas, the decrease of stagnant layer salt permeability ($P_s^{(s)}$) was owing to salt accumulation on the membrane surface causing more viscous flows and slower diffusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[MgCl$_2$], ppm</th>
<th>[MgCl$_2$], M</th>
<th>$P_s$ $\mu$m/s</th>
<th>$P_s^{(s)}$ $\mu$m/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>585.75 ± 1.06</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>27.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1026.75 ± 2.47</td>
<td>0.0188</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>25.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4035.00 ± 21.21</td>
<td>0.0740</td>
<td>2.020</td>
<td>14.537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 5 illustrates the effect of salt concentration on membrane performance. As it was increased (as displayed by the direction of the arrow), the permeate flux also increased owing to the thickness decrease providing lower resistance to water molecules to pass through the membrane as previously explained. Meanwhile, the rejection rate significantly decreased owing to the increase of membrane salt permeability which promoted higher salt passage.

Comparing the effect of both parameters on membrane performance, it can be seen that temperature increases from approximately 20 to 40 °C resulting in the rise of permeate flux by 60 % with only slight decrease in rejection rate. Meanwhile, when salt concentration increased from 500 to 4000 ppm, it caused the increase of permeate flux by 130 % but coupled with significant decrease of salt rejection rate. This result suggested that the membrane stability deteriorated with the salt concentration. This is actually normal for typical commercial NF or RO membrane but it occurs at much higher concentration. The membrane structure deteriorates quickly when being exposed to higher concentration although in the case of our membrane, it is important to increase membrane stability by for instance, chemical crosslink.

4. Conclusion

In this work, the investigation of PEM TFC NF membrane on the effect of operating condition on its performance was done. Two important operating parameters namely, temperature and feed salt concentration were investigated; whereas two membrane performance indicators i.e. salt rejection rate and permeate flux were analyzed in response to the operating condition.

It can be concluded that the increase of both temperature and salt concentration could increase the water flux and decrease the salt rejection rate. However, temperature had less detrimental effect on membrane performance compared to salt concentration in the feed. This suggests that membrane stability must be improved, for example using chemical cross-linker and the membrane should be tested again at even higher salt concentration.

Fig 5. Fitting of Observable Rejection Rate experimental (circle) and intrinsic rejection rate (triangular) with SDFM (continuous line) (Testing condition: P = 10 bar, MgCl$_2$ concentration = 500 – 4000 ppm, CFV = 0.65 m/s, T = 32.66 ± 0.45 °C, and pH = 6.42 ± 0.17)

From membrane transport model point of view, it can be seen that the transport of salt and water across the membrane followed the modified solution diffusion model by including the coupling effect between ions within the stagnant layer, a thin film, adjacent to membrane surface in which concentration polarization occurred. Good agreement between SDFM and observed rejection rate ($R_{os}$) suggested that SDFM can be used to predict the performance of PEM NF membrane at least for single salt test and within the condition range used in this work. For future, it is then important to apply this model especially for the wider range of operating condition.
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